

A remark on the structure of torsors under an affine group scheme

Christopher Deninger

1 Introduction

Any affine group scheme G over a field k is the inverse limit over a directed poset I of affine group schemes of finite type over k . Let P be a torsor under G , i.e. a non-empty affine scheme over k with a G -action such that the morphism $G \times_k P \rightarrow P \times_k P, (g, p) \mapsto (gp, p)$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1 *Consider an algebraically closed field k and an affine group scheme G over k written as above as an inverse limit $G = \varprojlim G_i$ over I of algebraic groups G_i . Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- i) The poset I is (at most) countable;*
- ii) The cardinality of I is strictly less than the one of k .*

Then any G -torsor P over k is trivial, i.e. $P(k) \neq \emptyset$.

The proof of the first part relies on the following proposition. The second part is an application of a Hilbert Nullstellensatz in infinitely many dimensions.

Proposition 2 *Any torsor P under an affine group scheme G over a field k is the directed inverse limit of affine schemes of finite type over k with faithfully flat transition maps. Here the directed poset over which the limit is taken, can be chosen to have the same cardinality as I , a poset for G .*

The theorem implies the following result which motivated the present note.

Corollary 3 *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a neutral Tannakian category over an algebraically closed field k . Assume that there is a set J of objects which generate $\mathcal{T}$ as a tensor category satisfying one of the following two conditions:*

- i) J is countable;*
 - ii) The cardinality of J is strictly less than the one of k .*
- Then any two fibre functors of $\mathcal{T}$ over k are isomorphic.*

2 Proofs

Consider an affine group scheme $G = \operatorname{spec} A$ over a field k given as an inverse limit $G = \varprojlim \tilde{G}_i$ over a directed poset I of affine group schemes $\tilde{G}_i$ of finite type over k . Such a representation is always possible by [W2] 3.3 Corollary. Writing $\tilde{G}_i = \operatorname{spec} \tilde{A}_i$, the projection $G \rightarrow \tilde{G}_i$ corresponds to a homomorphism of commutative Hopf algebras $\tilde{A}_i \rightarrow A$ over k . The image A_i in A of $\tilde{A}_i$ is a sub Hopf algebra of A by [MM] Lemma 4.6 ii). The transition maps between the $\tilde{A}_i$'s correspond to inclusion maps in A between the A_i 's and the natural Hopf-algebra map

$$\varinjlim \tilde{A}_i \twoheadrightarrow \varinjlim A_i \subset A$$

is an isomorphism. Hence we have

$$\varinjlim \tilde{A}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim A_i = A .$$

Setting $G_i = \operatorname{spec} A_i$ we have $G = \varprojlim G_i$ with the same poset I as before and the coordinate rings A_i now being sub Hopf algebras of A . For $j \geq i$ in I the inclusion $A_i \subset A_j$ makes A_j a faithfully flat A_i -algebra and $G_j \rightarrow G_i$ a faithfully flat morphism by [W2] 14.1 Theorem.

Let $P = \operatorname{spec} B$ be a G -torsor. The isomorphism $G \times_k P \xrightarrow{\sim} P \times_k P$ corresponds to an isomorphism of R -algebras $A \otimes_k R \cong B \otimes_k R$ where we have set $R = B$. As any k -algebra, R is faithfully flat. The image Q_i in $B \otimes_k R$ of $A_i \otimes_k R$ is a finitely generated R -sub Hopf algebra of $B \otimes_k R$ and for $j \geq i$ the inclusion $Q_i \subset Q_j$ in $B \otimes_k R$ makes Q_j a faithfully flat Q_i -algebra. Choose finitely many generators $q^{(\alpha)}$ of the R -algebra Q_i and write them in the form $q^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\nu} b_{\nu}^{(\alpha)} \otimes r_{\nu}^{(\alpha)}$ with

$b_\nu^{(\alpha)} \in B$ and $r_\nu^{(\alpha)} \in R$. Consider the subalgebra $B_i \subset B$ over k generated by the elements $b_\nu^{(\alpha)}$. Since the composed maps

$$B_i \otimes_k R \hookrightarrow Q_i \otimes_k R \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} Q_i \subset B \otimes_k R$$

is the inclusion $B_i \otimes_k R \subset B \otimes_k R$ it follows that $B_i \otimes_k R \subset Q_i$ and by construction of B_i also $B_i \otimes_k R = Q_i$ in $B \otimes_k R$. The inclusion maps $Q_i \subset Q_j$ do not necessarily descend to the B_i 's. To remedy this, let Ω be the set of finite subsets $\omega \subset I$ having a maximal element. Denote by $i(\omega) \in \omega$ the (uniquely determined) maximal element of ω . Setting $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ if $\omega_1 \subset \omega_2$ we get a partial ordering of Ω . It is directed since I is directed: For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$ set $\omega_3 = \omega_1 \cup \omega_2 \cup \{k\}$ where $k \in I$ is such that $k \geq i(\omega_1), k \geq i(\omega_2)$. Then $\omega_3 \in \Omega$ and $\omega_1 \leq \omega_3, \omega_2 \leq \omega_3$. For every $\omega \in \Omega$ let B_ω be the k -algebra in B generated by the k -algebras B_i for $i \in \omega$. For $i \in \omega$ we have $i \leq i(\omega)$ and hence $B_i \otimes_k R = Q_i \subset Q_{i(\omega)} = B_{i(\omega)} \otimes_k R$. It follows that $B_\omega \otimes_k R = Q_{i(\omega)}$. In particular B_ω is non-zero. For $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ the inclusion $B_{\omega_1} \subset B_{\omega_2}$ is faithfully flat since the inclusion of R -algebras $B_{\omega_1} \otimes_k R = Q_{i(\omega_1)} \subset Q_{i(\omega_2)} = B_{\omega_2} \otimes_k R$ is faithfully flat. Tensoring the inclusion

$$\varinjlim B_\omega \subset B$$

with R , we obtain an isomorphism

$$\left(\varinjlim B_\omega \right) \otimes_k R = \varinjlim (B_\omega \otimes_k R) = \varinjlim Q_{i(\omega)} = B \otimes_k R.$$

Hence we have $B = \varinjlim B_\omega$. This implies proposition 2.

With notations as before we set $P_\omega = \text{spec } B_\omega$. Since $B_\omega \neq 0$ we have $P_\omega \neq \emptyset$. For $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ the morphism $P_{\omega_2} \rightarrow P_{\omega_1}$, is faithfully flat and in particular surjective. Since P_{ω_1} and P_{ω_2} are of finite type over k , the morphism induces a surjection between the sets of closed points $|P_{\omega_2}| \rightarrow |P_{\omega_1}|$. If k is algebraically closed the closed points are in natural bijection with the k -rational points, and hence the map $P_{\omega_2}(k) \rightarrow P_{\omega_1}(k)$ is surjective. Note that $P_\omega(k) \neq \emptyset$ by the Nullstellensatz since $P_\omega \neq \emptyset$ is of finite type over k . If I is countable, then Ω is countable as well and

$$P(k) = \varprojlim_\omega P_\omega(k)$$

is an inverse limit of non-empty sets where the transition functions are surjective. Inductively we can choose a cofinal sequence $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots$ in Ω and it

follows that

$$P(k) = \varprojlim_{\nu} P_{\omega_{\nu}}(k)$$

is non-empty. This proves the assertion of theorem 1 under assumption i).

Note that for uncountable directed posets I an inverse limit of non-empty sets X_i with surjective transition maps π_{ji} can well be empty, see e.g. [W1]. On the other hand according to [HM] Proposition 2.7 the inverse limit $\varprojlim X_i$ will be non-empty (and the projections surjective) if the π_{ji} are closed surjective continuous maps between quasicompact non-empty T_1 -spaces X_i . For the Zariski topologies on the $P_{\omega}(k)$ all conditions are satisfied except that the transition maps need not map closed sets to closed sets. In fact Hochschild and Moore who are dealing with groups X_i use a suitable coset topology for their arguments. It does not seem to be applicable here. I do not know if torsors for general affine group schemes over an algebraically closed field are trivial.

We now prove the assertion of theorem 1 under condition ii). For finite I the group G is algebraic and the assertion well known. We may therefore assume that I is infinite. With notations as before, we have $A = \varinjlim_i A_i$ and $B = \varinjlim_{\omega} B_{\omega}$ where the cardinalities of the index sets I and Ω are the same. The algebra B_{ω} being finitely generated over k it follows that B is a quotient of the polynomial ring $S = k[T_i, i \in I]$ in infinitely many variables T_i indexed by I . Since $B \neq 0$ because of $P \neq \emptyset$, there is an ideal $\mathfrak{s}$ in S with $B \cong S/\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{s} \neq S$. We now refer to [L] Theorem from which it follows that for $\text{card } I < \text{card } k$ the ideal $\mathfrak{s}$ has an “algebraic zero”. This implies that there is a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ in S with $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ and $S/\mathfrak{m} = k$. The composition

$$B \cong S/\mathfrak{s} \longrightarrow S/\mathfrak{m} = k$$

provides a k -rational point of P as claimed.

Generalizations of the Hilbert Nullstellensatz to polynomial rings in infinitely many variables go back to Krull [K] § 3, Satz 4 who treats the case of countable I and remarks that the case of arbitrary I could be done along the same lines.

Corollary 3 is a consequence of theorem 1 because for two fibre functors η_1 and η_2 of $\mathcal{T}$ the affine k -scheme $P = \text{Isom}^{\otimes}(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ is a torsor under the affine group scheme $G = \text{Aut}^{\otimes}(\eta_1)$. Arguing as in the proof of [DM] Proposition 2.8 one sees that G satisfies the condition of theorem 1 by our assumption on $\mathcal{T}$. Hence $P(k) \neq \emptyset$ and thus there exists an isomorphism between η_1 and η_2 over k .

References

- [DM] Pierre Deligne and James S. Milne. Tannakian categories. In: Hodge Cycles, Motives, and Shimura Varieties, LNM 900, 1982, pp. 101–228; an updated version is available under www.jmilne.org/math/.
- [HM] G. Hochschild and G. D. Mostow. Representations and representative functions of Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 66:495–542, 1957.
- [K] Wolfgang Krull. Jacobson'sche Ringe, Hilbertscher Nullstellensatz, Dimensionstheorie. *Math. Z.*, 54:354–387, 1951.
- [L] Serge Lang. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz in infinite-dimensional space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3:407–410, 1952.
- [MM] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore. On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 81:211–264, 1965.
- [W1] William C. Waterhouse. An empty inverse limit. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36:618, 1972.
- [W2] William C. Waterhouse. *Introduction to affine group schemes*, volume 66 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.